

United States Patent and Trademark Office

C(C)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/709,726	05/25/2004	Muhammed Majeed		3725	
33048 75	590 10/19/2005		EXAMINER		
SABINSA CORPORATION			MCCORMICK EWOLDT, SUSAN BETH		
70 ETHEL RO. UNIT 6	AD WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854		1655			

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/709,726	MAJEED ET AL.	MAJEED ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	S. B. McCormick-Ewoldt	1655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ate, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	September 2005.					
	nis action is non-final.					
	is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application) .					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers .						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list. 	nts have been received. Ints have been received in A Iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\	C (DTO 440)				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of I 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/709,726

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on September 15, 2005 is acknowledged. Because Applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 2-4 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on September 15, 2005.

Claims Pending

Claim 1 will be examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Undue experimentation would be required to practice the invention as claimed due to the quantity of experimentation necessary; limited amount of guidance and limited number of working examples in the specification; nature of the invention; state of the prior art; relative skill level of those in the art; predictability or unpredictability in the art; and breadth of the claims. In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Applicant's claim is broadly drawn to a method of making a composition comprising Flemingia macrophylla root. The specification is not considered to enable this use because the definition of root cover is unclear. Applicant's specification does not give any definition or show what a root cover is and how it is to be used. In addition, does one use the root cover in the method or what is left over after the root cover is removed? Thus, Applicant does not provide enough information for a person of ordinary skill in the art to determine without undue experimentation what a root cover is and how to use it in the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,726

Art Unit: 1655

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is unclear as to what a "root cover" is. In addition, it is unclear if the root cover itself is used in the following method steps. Clarification is needed.

In claim 1, the term "fine" is indefinite because it is unclear as to what is encompassed by this term.

In claim 1, the recitation "allowing to filter" is in passive voice which makes it appear that filtering happens naturally.

Summary

No claim is allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. The Japanese Patent 2000247829 (translation provided) discloses using the root of *Flemingia macrophylla*. However, JP2000247829 does not disclose removing the method steps involved as in the claimed invention.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0981. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The official fax number for the group is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,726

Art Unit: 1655

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Man D. We 10-13-05

Page 4

SUSAN COE PRIMARY EXAMINER

sbme